

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/577,736	<b>Applicant(s)</b> XU ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b> THOMAS A. CONWAY	<b>Art Unit</b> 2624

**All Participants:**(1) THOMAS A. CONWAY.**Status of Application:** allowed

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Larry Nixon.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 22 March 2010**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

## Claims discussed:

1, 3 and 5

## Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.****SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:***See Continuation Sheet***Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Thomas A. Conway/  
 Examiner, Art Unit 2624

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner suggested amendments to the current claims to place the application in condition of allowance, specifically, editing instances of morphological "dilution" to "dilation", insertion of commas to better interpret the claim language and editing references to prior performed steps within the method. Claim 3 also had a proposed amendment to include language describing the computer readable medium as being "non-transitory", which was agreed to by the Applicant's representative.